

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	UAL (INS1, INS2) SPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/E		(CI)	
AIRS ID#: 0110020 DATE: 7/	5/2012	ARRIVE: <u>900</u>		DEPART: <u>1200</u>	
FACILITY NAME: FT LAUD	ERDALE READY-MIX	CCB PLANT			
FACILITY LOCATION:	2500 SW 2ND AVE				
	FT LAUDERDALE 33	3315-3114			
	rica.com		PHONE: Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:	(954)425-4227 (561)504-6787 (954)761-1944	
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTION 1. Name(s) of facility representation Brief Notes:				(check box for e	only one each question)
2. Is the Authorized Representat If no, who is?:	ive still TERRY LANCA	STER?		Yes	No
If different, did the facility pr 3. Is the facility contact still BR If no, who is?:					
4. Will facility be conducting V If yes, was the compliance au					=

Emissions Unit Section
8 -CCB Plant#1-silo(cement)w/silotop baghouse, easternmost subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	
1. Date of last inspection: 7/19/2011 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	□ No □ No □ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	ļ
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Yes	□ No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	□ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne	
particulate matter? \ Yes 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	∐ No
particulate matter from stock piles? Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 🛛 Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:	□ No
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	☐ No

Emissions Unit Section
9 – CCB Plant#1-splitsilo compart.#1w/idividual silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	
1. Date of last inspection: 7/19/2011 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	ļ
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:	
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? X Yes	☐ No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? X Yes	□ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? X Yes	□ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	☐ NO
particulate matter from stock piles? Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Yes	□ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:	
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	∐ No □ No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	110

Emissions Unit Section
10 –CCB Plant#1-splitsilo,compart.#2w/idividual silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	
1. Date of last inspection: 7/19/2011 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	□ No □ No □ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	ļ
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	ļ
	ļ
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: 	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:	ļ
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? X Yes	☐ No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? X Yes	□ N.
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	∐ No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne	
particulate matter?	☐ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 🛛 Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:	
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	□ No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? Yes c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	∐ No

Emissions Unit Section 11 –CCB Plant#1-silo(cement)w/individ.silotopb-house(standalone) subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
Date of last inspection: 7/19/2011 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control uncon emissions by: a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the stock piles areas, stock piles, and yards?	ne following: Yes Yes Yes f	□ No□ No□ No□ No
 b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 		□ No□ No□ No

Emissions Unit Section 12 –CCB Plant#1-weigh hopper & truck loadout w/central baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
1. Date of last inspection: 7/19/2011 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	☐ Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfine emissions by: 		
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the f paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? 	✓ Yes- ✓ Yes- ✓ Yes	NoNoNoNoNo
 b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: 		□ No
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	∐ No □ No

Emissions Unit Section 13 –CCB Plant#2-silo#1 (cement)w/idividual silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
Date of last inspection: 7/19/2011 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
DADELL EVELD ODGEDVATIONS D. L. (2.20(.414/2), D. A. G.		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yan</u>	rds	
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to contro emissions by: 	ol unconfined	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or me 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 	X Yes	☐ No
application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessant control emissions?	ssary to Yes	□ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne	_	
particulate matter?		☐ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrain particulate matter from stock piles?		☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the	truck? X Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No

Emissions Unit Section 14 –CCB Plant#2-silo#2 (cement)w/idividual silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
1. Date of last inspection: 7/19/2011 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	- 🔲 Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>		
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Fonts, Roads, Larking Areas, Stock Lites, and Lards		
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfin emissions by: 	ed	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the		
 paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 	Yes	☐ No
control emissions?	X Yes	☐ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne		
particulate matter?	X Yes	☐ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	⊠ Vas	□ No
particulate matter from stock piles?	· M Tes	∐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:		
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	· Yes	□ No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	∐ No

Emissions Unit Section
15 –CCB Plant#2-weighhopper&truck loadout w/cent. dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	
1. Date of last inspection: 7/19/2011 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
DADEW EVEY D ODGEDWATEONG D.L. (2.20(.414(2) E.A.C.	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: 	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 	□ No
control emissions?	☐ No
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? Yes 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	☐ No
particulate matter from stock piles? Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 🛛 Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	☐ No ☐ No

Facility Section (continued)

CO	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY		only one ch question)	
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	☐ Yes	NoNoNoNo	
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠ No	
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gener permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No	
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	Yes Yes Yes Yes	 No No No No No No	
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared		.00?	
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		☐ No	
GENERAL CONDITIONS (check ☑ only one box for each question)				
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠ No	
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	Yes	☐ No	
3.	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?	Yes	☐ No	
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general		\square No	

RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both s		(check 🗹 box for each	question)
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>I</i> Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?			☐ No
 (If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.) a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or I e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notific to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busines c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notificato the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five 	prior to changing location?ation Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(ess days following a relocation? tion Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6	(6)] 	□ No□ No□ No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate a and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it co-located at the permitted facility?	nir construction or air operation per in that separate permit: pose (i.e, there is no repeated usage was	rmit, e)?	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
CHANGES Administrative Changes:		(check ☑ box for each	
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admit 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	n of the facility or any emissions ur nistrative change at the facility? of the change?		No No No No No No No
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration 30 days prior to the change?	on form and the appropriate fee sub	_	⊠ No □ No
C.Pitters	7/5/2012		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection		
hispector's reame (rease rime)	Dute of Hispection		
	7/5/2013		
Inspector's Signature	7/5/2013 Approximate Date of Next Inst	spection	